



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,491	06/17/2005	Ralf Wolleschensky	ZEI-3278/500343.20298	2400

26418 7590 05/05/2009  
REED SMITH, LLP  
ATTN: PATENT RECORDS DEPARTMENT  
599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR  
NEW YORK, NY 10022-7650

EXAMINER
----------

VALENTIN, JUAN D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2877

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

05/05/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/539,491	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WOLLESCHEFSKY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JUAN D. VALENTIN	<b>Art Unit</b> 2877	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JUAN D. VALENTIN. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Joseph Tralor. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 04/22/2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: Rogers et al. 5,734,470 A1.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner inadvertently used the wrong patent number for the 102(b) rejection over Roger et al. The correct patent number is 5,734,470 to Rogers et al. Further, examiner inadvertently forgot to change the name Simon to the Rogers et al. patent for the 103 rejection. All claims rejected in this application are rejected under Rogers et al. (5,734,470 A1).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Gregory J. Toatley, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2877
--	---